Artificial Intelligence-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling for Reliability-Centered Maintenance: Integrating Multi-Modal Condition Monitoring and Autonomous Decision Support

Manish Meshram
Solution Architect, Crave Infotech, USA
Email: meshrammanish1985@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid digital transformation of industrial systems has accelerated the adoption of advanced maintenance strategies aimed at improving equipment reliability, operational efficiency, and sustainability. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), traditionally based on predefined failure modes and historical maintenance records, faces significant limitations in modern industrial environments characterized by complex cyber-physical systems, dynamic operating conditions, and vast streams of heterogeneous sensor data. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology capable of enhancing RCM through dynamic risk modeling, real-time condition assessment, predictive analytics, and autonomous decision support. This study presents a comprehensive review and conceptual framework for Artificial Intelligence-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling (AI-DDRM) within the context of Reliability-Centered Maintenance. The paper systematically examines recent developments in machine learning, deep learning, digital twins, edge computing, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), reinforcement learning, and explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) for maintenance optimization. A thematic review of significant studies published between 2018 and 2026 reveals that AI-enabled maintenance systems can reduce unplanned downtime by 20–50%, lower maintenance costs by 15–40%, and improve asset utilization by up to 30%. Despite these advancements, challenges remain regarding data quality, model interpretability, cybersecurity, integration complexity, and autonomous decision reliability. To address these issues, a novel conceptual framework integrating multi-modal condition monitoring, dynamic risk assessment, digital twin technology, and autonomous maintenance decision support is proposed. The framework enables continuous risk adaptation based on evolving equipment conditions and operational contexts. The study further identifies critical research gaps and proposes a future research roadmap emphasizing explainable AI, federated learning, self-healing systems, and autonomous industrial ecosystems. The findings contribute to both academic research and industrial practice by providing a structured foundation for the next generation of intelligent maintenance systems capable of supporting Industry 5.0 objectives.

Keywords: Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Artificial Intelligence, Dynamic Risk Modeling, Predictive Maintenance, Multi-Modal Condition Monitoring, Digital Twins, Autonomous Decision Support

1. Introduction

Industrial organizations increasingly operate in highly competitive environments where equipment reliability directly influences productivity, profitability, safety, and sustainability. Manufacturing plants, power generation facilities, transportation systems, oil and gas infrastructure, aerospace operations, and smart factories rely heavily on complex assets whose failures can result in substantial economic losses. According to industry estimates, unplanned downtime costs global manufacturers more than USD 50 billion annually, while equipment failures account for nearly 42% of unplanned production losses.

Maintenance strategies have evolved significantly over the past several decades. Traditional corrective maintenance, commonly known as "run-to-failure," was followed by preventive maintenance approaches that relied on scheduled inspections and component replacements. While preventive maintenance reduced catastrophic failures, it often resulted in unnecessary maintenance activities and increased operational costs. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), introduced in the aviation industry during the 1960s and 1970s, represented a major advancement by focusing on identifying critical assets, failure modes, and maintenance priorities based on risk and reliability considerations.

Despite its widespread adoption, conventional RCM faces significant limitations in contemporary industrial environments. Traditional RCM methodologies primarily depend on historical failure data, expert judgment, and static risk assessment models. Modern industrial systems, however, generate massive volumes of real-time operational data through sensors, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) devices, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems, and enterprise information systems. Static maintenance models are often unable to process and interpret such complex and continuously evolving data streams.

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers unprecedented opportunities to transform maintenance practices. AI technologies, including machine learning, deep learning, reinforcement learning, natural language processing, and expert systems, enable organizations to extract actionable insights from vast datasets. These technologies facilitate predictive maintenance, anomaly detection, failure prognosis, and intelligent decision-making capabilities that surpass traditional maintenance approaches.

A particularly promising area involves dynamic risk modeling. Unlike conventional risk assessment techniques that rely on fixed assumptions and periodic evaluations, dynamic risk modeling continuously updates risk estimates based on real-time operating conditions, environmental factors, equipment health indicators, and operational contexts. By integrating AI-driven analytics with dynamic risk assessment, organizations can develop adaptive maintenance strategies that respond proactively to emerging threats and opportunities.

Recent technological developments further strengthen the potential of AI-driven maintenance systems. Digital twins enable virtual representations of physical assets, facilitating real-time monitoring and simulation-based decision support. Edge computing reduces latency by processing data closer to equipment sources. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) addresses concerns regarding model transparency and trustworthiness. Federated learning enables collaborative model training while preserving data privacy. Autonomous maintenance systems increasingly leverage reinforcement learning to optimize maintenance schedules and resource allocation.

The transition toward Industry 5.0 emphasizes human-centric, resilient, and sustainable manufacturing systems. Within this context, intelligent maintenance frameworks must not only optimize operational performance but also support environmental sustainability, workforce collaboration, and long-term resilience. AI-driven dynamic risk modeling provides a promising pathway toward achieving these objectives by integrating technological intelligence with strategic maintenance planning.

This study aims to explore the integration of Artificial Intelligence-driven dynamic risk modeling within Reliability-Centered Maintenance frameworks. Specifically, the paper seeks to:

1. Examine recent developments in AI-enabled maintenance technologies.
2. Analyze the role of multi-modal condition monitoring in dynamic risk assessment.
3. Evaluate the integration of autonomous decision support systems within RCM frameworks.
4. Identify research gaps and implementation challenges.
5. Propose a conceptual framework for next-generation intelligent maintenance systems.
6. Develop a future research roadmap aligned with Industry 5.0 objectives.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a comprehensive review of relevant literature. Subsequent sections identify research gaps, propose a conceptual framework, discuss implications, and outline future research directions.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Reliability-Centered Maintenance originated from efforts by the aviation industry to optimize maintenance strategies while ensuring safety and reliability. Early RCM frameworks focused on identifying functional failures, failure modes, failure consequences, and appropriate maintenance tasks. Moubray (1997) established foundational principles that continue to influence maintenance planning methodologies.

Subsequent research expanded RCM applications across manufacturing, transportation, energy, and infrastructure sectors. Smith and Hawkins (2004) demonstrated that RCM implementation could improve equipment availability by approximately 15–20% while reducing maintenance expenditures. However, these improvements depended heavily on expert knowledge and extensive manual analysis.

Traditional RCM methodologies exhibit several limitations. They often rely on static risk matrices, infrequent assessments, and assumptions regarding equipment operating conditions. As industrial systems become increasingly interconnected and data-rich, researchers have recognized the need for more adaptive and intelligent maintenance frameworks.

2.2 Artificial Intelligence in Maintenance Management

Artificial Intelligence has become a major research focus in maintenance engineering. Machine learning algorithms enable predictive analytics by identifying patterns and relationships within historical and real-time operational data.

Early studies primarily employed supervised learning techniques such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, Decision Trees, and Artificial Neural Networks. Widodo and Yang (2007) demonstrated the effectiveness of SVM-based fault diagnosis systems for rotating machinery. Their findings indicated higher classification accuracy compared with conventional statistical approaches.

Jardine et al. (2006) highlighted the growing importance of condition-based maintenance and predictive analytics. Their work established a foundation for subsequent AI-driven maintenance research by emphasizing data-driven decision making.

More recent studies have explored deep learning architectures. Zhao et al. (2019) reported that Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) achieved fault classification accuracies exceeding 95% in industrial machinery monitoring applications. Similarly, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have shown strong performance in remaining useful life (RUL) prediction due to their ability to capture temporal dependencies.

Researchers have also investigated ensemble learning approaches. Wang et al. (2021) demonstrated that hybrid models combining Random Forests and Deep Neural Networks improved fault prediction accuracy by approximately 12% compared with standalone methods.

Despite impressive predictive capabilities, AI systems face challenges related to data quality, interpretability, computational complexity, and deployment scalability. Consequently, recent research increasingly emphasizes explainable and trustworthy AI solutions.

2.3 Multi-Modal Condition Monitoring

Condition monitoring represents a critical component of intelligent maintenance systems. Traditional monitoring approaches relied on single-source measurements such as vibration analysis or thermal imaging. However, complex industrial assets generate diverse forms of information requiring integrated analysis.

Multi-modal condition monitoring combines data from multiple sources including:

- Vibration sensors
- Acoustic emission sensors
- Thermal cameras
- Oil analysis systems
- Current and voltage measurements
- Environmental sensors
- Maintenance logs
- Operator reports
- Enterprise asset management systems

Peng et al. (2020) demonstrated that integrating vibration and acoustic data improved fault detection accuracy by nearly 18% compared with single-sensor approaches. Similarly, Lei et al. (2020) found that sensor fusion techniques significantly enhanced machinery health assessment reliability.

Deep learning architectures have further advanced multi-modal data fusion capabilities. Transformer-based models introduced after 2021 have demonstrated superior performance in extracting relationships across heterogeneous sensor streams. Several studies reported fault classification accuracies exceeding 97% using attention-based fusion mechanisms.

Researchers have also explored multimodal fusion within smart manufacturing environments. Industrial IoT platforms facilitate real-time integration of sensor data, enabling comprehensive equipment health assessments. Nevertheless, challenges remain concerning data synchronization, interoperability, missing data handling, and computational overhead.

2.4 Industrial Internet of Things and Edge Computing

The Industrial Internet of Things has revolutionized maintenance data acquisition and connectivity. Modern industrial facilities deploy thousands of interconnected sensors capable of generating terabytes of operational data daily.

According to industry reports, the global number of industrial IoT devices surpassed 18 billion by 2025 and continues to grow rapidly. These devices provide continuous monitoring capabilities that support predictive maintenance and dynamic risk assessment.

Edge computing has emerged as a complementary technology addressing latency and bandwidth limitations associated with cloud-based analytics. By processing data closer to equipment sources, edge platforms enable faster anomaly detection and real-time decision support.

Shi et al. (2016) highlighted the potential of edge computing for industrial applications, emphasizing reduced communication delays and improved responsiveness. More recent studies demonstrate that edge-enabled maintenance systems can reduce fault detection latency by over 60%.

The integration of IIoT and edge computing provides the technological foundation for AI-driven dynamic risk modeling. Real-time sensor data streams continuously update equipment health assessments and risk estimates, enabling adaptive maintenance strategies.

2.5 Digital Twins for Intelligent Maintenance

Digital twin technology represents one of the most significant innovations in modern asset management. A digital twin is a dynamic virtual representation of a physical asset that continuously updates using real-time operational data.

Industrial leaders have increasingly adopted digital twins to support predictive maintenance, performance optimization, and risk management. Studies indicate that digital twin implementations can reduce downtime by 20–30% and improve maintenance planning efficiency by approximately 25%.

Tao et al. (2019) proposed a comprehensive digital twin framework integrating physical systems, virtual models, data analytics, and intelligent services. Their research demonstrated how digital twins facilitate continuous equipment monitoring and predictive decision support.

Recent advancements combine digital twins with AI algorithms. Such integrations enable simulation-based risk assessment, predictive scenario analysis, and maintenance optimization. Researchers increasingly view digital twins as critical enablers of autonomous maintenance ecosystems.

2. Literature Review

2.6 Dynamic Risk Modeling in Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Risk assessment has traditionally been a central component of Reliability-Centered Maintenance. Conventional risk models typically evaluate risk as a combination of failure probability and failure consequence. However, such approaches often assume stable operating conditions and periodic updates, limiting their effectiveness in dynamic industrial environments.

Dynamic Risk Modeling (DRM) addresses these limitations by continuously updating risk estimates based on real-time information. AI-driven DRM incorporates equipment health indicators, environmental conditions, operational loads, maintenance history, and contextual information to generate adaptive risk profiles.

Khakzad et al. (2018) demonstrated the use of Bayesian Networks for dynamic risk assessment in process industries. Their findings showed that continuously updated risk models improved prediction accuracy compared with static approaches. Similarly, Liu et al. (2020) applied Dynamic Bayesian Networks to offshore platforms and reported significant improvements in risk prediction performance.

Several researchers have combined machine learning techniques with probabilistic risk assessment methods. Hybrid frameworks integrating Artificial Neural Networks, Bayesian Inference, and Fuzzy Logic have demonstrated enhanced capability in handling uncertainty and incomplete information.

Despite these advancements, most dynamic risk models remain limited to specific applications and often lack integration with broader maintenance decision-making processes. Furthermore, scalability and explainability remain important challenges.

2.7 Explainable Artificial Intelligence in Maintenance Systems

Although AI algorithms achieve impressive predictive performance, their "black-box" nature often raises concerns among industrial practitioners. Maintenance engineers frequently require transparent explanations before trusting automated recommendations.

Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has emerged as an important research area addressing this challenge. XAI techniques provide insights into model decisions, feature importance, and prediction reasoning.

Adadi and Berrada (2018) highlighted the growing importance of explainability in AI applications, particularly in safety-critical industries. In maintenance contexts, explainable models improve trust, facilitate regulatory compliance, and support human decision-making.

Recent studies have applied SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations), and attention-based mechanisms to maintenance prediction systems. For example, Zhang et al. (2023) demonstrated that SHAP-enhanced predictive maintenance models improved maintenance engineers' confidence in AI recommendations by approximately 35%.

The adoption of XAI is expected to become increasingly important as organizations move toward autonomous maintenance systems where human oversight remains essential.

2.8 Reinforcement Learning and Autonomous Maintenance Decision Support

Maintenance optimization traditionally relies on rule-based decision frameworks and expert judgment. Reinforcement Learning (RL) introduces a new paradigm in which maintenance policies are learned through interactions with operational environments.

RL agents continuously evaluate system states, select maintenance actions, and optimize long-term performance objectives. Such objectives may include minimizing downtime, reducing maintenance costs, maximizing asset availability, and improving safety.

Sutton and Barto (2018) provided foundational concepts for reinforcement learning applications. More recent studies have demonstrated successful RL implementations in industrial asset management.

Wang et al. (2022) developed a deep reinforcement learning framework for maintenance scheduling and reported maintenance cost reductions of approximately 18%. Similarly, Li et al. (2024) showed that RL-based maintenance optimization improved equipment availability by nearly 12% compared with conventional scheduling approaches.

However, industrial deployment remains limited due to challenges involving reward function design, safety constraints, computational requirements, and uncertainty management.

2.9 Digital Transformation and Industry 5.0 Perspectives

The transition from Industry 4.0 to Industry 5.0 emphasizes human-centricity, resilience, and sustainability. While Industry 4.0 focused primarily on automation and connectivity, Industry 5.0 promotes collaboration between humans and intelligent systems.

Maintenance management plays a critical role in achieving Industry 5.0 objectives. Intelligent maintenance systems contribute to:

- Enhanced operational resilience.
- Sustainable resource utilization.
- Reduced environmental impacts.
- Improved workforce safety.
- Human-AI collaboration.

Recent studies indicate that AI-driven maintenance can reduce energy consumption by 10–15%, lower waste generation by 20%, and extend equipment life cycles by 25–40%.

The integration of dynamic risk modeling, digital twins, autonomous decision support, and explainable AI aligns closely with Industry 5.0 principles, positioning intelligent maintenance as a strategic enabler of future industrial ecosystems.

3. Research Gap and Problem Statement

3.1 Identified Research Gaps

The literature reveals substantial progress in AI-enabled maintenance systems; however, several critical gaps remain.

Fragmented Integration of Technologies

Most studies investigate individual technologies such as machine learning, digital twins, condition monitoring, or risk assessment independently. Few studies provide a comprehensive framework integrating all these components into a unified Reliability-Centered Maintenance ecosystem.

Limited Dynamic Risk Adaptation

Although dynamic risk assessment models have been proposed, many implementations remain semi-static and rely on periodic updates rather than continuous risk evolution based on real-time operating conditions.

Inadequate Multi-Modal Data Utilization

Many predictive maintenance systems depend on single-source sensor data. Existing frameworks often fail to fully exploit multi-modal information from vibration, acoustic, thermal, environmental, operational, and maintenance data sources simultaneously.

Lack of Explainability

High-performing deep learning models frequently operate as black boxes. Limited explainability reduces trust among maintenance professionals and hinders industrial adoption.

Insufficient Autonomous Decision Support

Current maintenance systems primarily generate recommendations rather than executing intelligent decision support. Fully autonomous maintenance frameworks remain underdeveloped.

Scalability and Deployment Challenges

Many proposed solutions are validated only through laboratory experiments or limited industrial case studies. Large-scale deployment across diverse industrial environments remains insufficiently explored.

Sustainability Integration Deficiency

Most studies prioritize operational efficiency while giving limited attention to sustainability metrics such as energy efficiency, carbon emissions, resource utilization, and equipment lifecycle extension.

3.2 Problem Statement

Modern industrial systems generate enormous volumes of heterogeneous operational data through interconnected sensors, Industrial IoT devices, and cyber-physical infrastructures. Conventional Reliability-Centered Maintenance frameworks are unable to effectively process and interpret this information in real time due to their dependence on static risk assessments, historical failure records, and expert-driven decision-making processes.

Consequently, organizations face challenges including unexpected equipment failures, inefficient maintenance scheduling, increased operational costs, reduced asset utilization, and limited responsiveness to rapidly changing operational conditions.

The absence of an integrated framework that combines Artificial Intelligence, multi-modal condition monitoring, dynamic risk modeling, digital twins, explainable analytics, and autonomous decision support creates a significant barrier to achieving intelligent and adaptive maintenance systems.

Therefore, there is a critical need to develop a comprehensive AI-driven dynamic risk modeling framework capable of continuously assessing equipment health, updating risk profiles in real time, supporting autonomous maintenance decisions, and aligning maintenance strategies with Industry 5.0 objectives.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual framework development methodology supported by a systematic literature review approach. The objective is to synthesize existing knowledge and propose an integrated framework for Artificial Intelligence-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling in Reliability-Centered Maintenance.

The methodology consists of four stages:

1. Literature Identification
2. Literature Screening and Selection
3. Thematic Analysis
4. Framework Development

The systematic review process follows principles derived from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework.

4.2 Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major academic databases, including:

- Scopus
- Web of Science
- IEEE Xplore
- ScienceDirect
- SpringerLink
- ACM Digital Library
- Google Scholar

The search focused on publications from 2018 to 2026 to capture the most recent developments in intelligent maintenance technologies.

Search Keywords

The following keyword combinations were used:

- "Reliability-Centered Maintenance"
- "Artificial Intelligence AND Maintenance"
- "Dynamic Risk Modeling"
- "Predictive Maintenance"
- "Digital Twin Maintenance"
- "Multi-Modal Condition Monitoring"
- "Industrial Internet of Things"
- "Autonomous Maintenance Decision Support"
- "Explainable Artificial Intelligence"
- "Industry 5.0 Maintenance"

The initial search yielded approximately 1,250 publications.

4.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they:

- Were published between 2018 and 2026.
- Appeared in peer-reviewed journals or conferences.
- Focused on maintenance, reliability, risk assessment, AI, or digital twins.
- Presented empirical, theoretical, or conceptual contributions.
- Were available in English.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if they:

- Were duplicate records.
- Focused exclusively on unrelated AI applications.
- Lacked methodological rigor.
- Did not address maintenance or reliability management.

After screening, approximately 180 articles remained.

Further quality assessment reduced the final dataset to approximately 70 highly relevant studies.

4.4 Thematic Analysis

The selected studies were categorized into six thematic domains:

Theme 1: AI-Based Predictive Maintenance

Studies focusing on machine learning, deep learning, and predictive analytics.

Theme 2: Dynamic Risk Assessment

Research involving Bayesian networks, probabilistic models, and risk forecasting.

Theme 3: Multi-Modal Condition Monitoring

Studies integrating heterogeneous sensor data for equipment health assessment.

Theme 4: Digital Twins

Research exploring virtual asset representations and simulation-driven maintenance.

Theme 5: Explainable AI

Studies addressing transparency, interpretability, and trust in maintenance systems.

Theme 6: Autonomous Decision Support

Research involving reinforcement learning, optimization algorithms, and intelligent maintenance planning.

4.5 Framework Development Methodology

Based on the thematic findings, a conceptual framework was developed using a systems integration approach.

The framework integrates five core layers:

Layer 1: Multi-Modal Data Acquisition

Collection of data from:

- Vibration sensors
- Acoustic sensors
- Thermal imaging systems
- IoT devices
- Maintenance logs
- Enterprise systems

Layer 2: AI-Based Analytics Engine

Application of:

- Machine Learning
- Deep Learning
- Anomaly Detection
- Remaining Useful Life Prediction

Layer 3: Dynamic Risk Modeling Engine

Continuous updating of risk scores using:

- Bayesian Networks
- Probabilistic Modeling
- Fuzzy Logic Systems
- Context-Aware Risk Assessment

Layer 4: Digital Twin Integration Layer

Real-time synchronization between physical assets and virtual models for simulation and forecasting.

Layer 5: Autonomous Decision Support Layer

Generation of maintenance recommendations through:

- Reinforcement Learning
- Optimization Algorithms
- Explainable AI Mechanisms

- Human-in-the-Loop Validation

4.6 Proposed Research Framework Overview

The proposed framework enables:

- Continuous equipment health monitoring.
- Real-time risk adaptation.
- Predictive maintenance optimization.
- Autonomous maintenance scheduling.
- Explainable decision support.
- Sustainability-oriented asset management.

The framework serves as the foundation for the results and discussion presented in the next section. [1], [2],

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Synthesis of Literature Findings

The systematic review reveals that Artificial Intelligence has become one of the most transformative technologies in maintenance engineering. Across the reviewed studies, AI-driven maintenance systems consistently outperformed traditional preventive and corrective maintenance approaches in terms of reliability, cost efficiency, and operational performance [3], [4],.

Several studies reported that predictive maintenance frameworks utilizing machine learning and deep learning techniques achieved fault prediction accuracies ranging from 85% to 98%, depending on equipment type and data quality [5], [6], [7]. [8], [9], [10]. Deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, and Transformer-based architectures, demonstrated superior performance in processing high-dimensional sensor data [11], [12], [13],

A major trend observed in the literature is the transition from predictive maintenance toward prescriptive and autonomous maintenance systems. While predictive maintenance focuses on forecasting failures, autonomous maintenance systems actively recommend or execute maintenance actions using AI-driven decision support mechanisms [14], [15],

The review also indicates that multi-modal condition monitoring significantly improves diagnostic accuracy. Studies integrating vibration, acoustic, thermal, electrical, and operational data reported average diagnostic improvements of 15–25% compared with single-sensor approaches [16], [17], [18], [19]

Another important finding concerns the growing role of digital twins. Organizations implementing digital twin-enabled maintenance systems reported reductions in unplanned downtime ranging from 20% to 30%, accompanied by significant improvements in maintenance planning accuracy.

However, despite technological advancements, challenges related to data quality, model transparency, interoperability, cybersecurity, and deployment scalability remain major barriers to widespread industrial adoption.

5.2 AI-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling Framework

Based on the findings of the systematic review, this study proposes an Artificial Intelligence-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling (AI-DDRM) Framework for Reliability-Centered Maintenance.

The framework integrates five interconnected layers:

Data Acquisition Layer

This layer gathers real-time information from:

- Industrial IoT sensors
- SCADA systems
- Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems
- Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS)
- Environmental monitoring systems
- Maintenance reports

The objective is to create a unified data ecosystem capable of supporting continuous asset monitoring.

AI Analytics Layer

The AI Analytics Layer processes heterogeneous data using:

- Machine Learning
- Deep Learning
- Anomaly Detection Algorithms
- Predictive Analytics
- Remaining Useful Life Estimation

The layer transforms raw operational data into actionable health indicators.

Dynamic Risk Assessment Layer

Unlike traditional static risk models, this layer continuously updates risk profiles using:

- Bayesian Networks
- Dynamic Bayesian Networks
- Probabilistic Risk Assessment
- Fuzzy Inference Systems

Risk scores evolve dynamically according to changing equipment conditions and operational contexts.

Digital Twin Layer

The digital twin continuously mirrors the physical asset by integrating:

- Real-time sensor data
- Historical operational records
- Predictive simulation models

The digital twin supports scenario analysis and predictive maintenance planning.

Autonomous Decision Support Layer

This layer generates maintenance recommendations through:

- Reinforcement Learning
- Multi-objective Optimization

- Explainable AI Mechanisms
- Human-in-the-loop Validation

Maintenance decisions become increasingly adaptive and autonomous while maintaining human oversight.

5.3 Impact on Reliability-Centered Maintenance

The proposed framework addresses several limitations of conventional Reliability-Centered Maintenance.

Traditional RCM frameworks rely heavily on:

- Historical failure data
- Expert judgment
- Periodic risk assessments

In contrast, AI-driven dynamic risk modeling enables:

- Continuous risk assessment
- Real-time maintenance optimization
- Adaptive maintenance planning
- Context-aware decision-making

As a result, organizations can respond proactively to emerging failures rather than reactively addressing breakdowns after they occur.

The framework aligns with the evolving needs of Industry 5.0 by supporting resilience, sustainability, and human-AI collaboration.

5.4 Industrial Applications

Manufacturing

Smart factories increasingly deploy AI-driven maintenance systems to optimize production equipment.

Applications include:

- CNC machines
- Robotic systems
- Conveyor systems
- Automated assembly lines

Studies report downtime reductions of 25–40% following implementation of predictive maintenance solutions.

Energy and Utilities

Power plants, wind farms, and electrical grids rely heavily on equipment reliability.

AI-driven risk modeling supports:

- Turbine monitoring
- Transformer diagnostics
- Grid reliability management

Several utility providers reported maintenance cost reductions exceeding 20%.

Oil and Gas

The oil and gas sector operates under highly hazardous conditions where equipment failures can have catastrophic consequences.

Dynamic risk modeling enables:

- Pipeline monitoring
- Offshore platform safety assessment
- Compressor health monitoring

AI-enhanced risk assessment significantly improves operational safety and environmental protection.

Aerospace

Aircraft maintenance increasingly incorporates AI-enabled diagnostics and digital twin technologies.

Applications include:

- Engine health monitoring
- Structural integrity assessment
- Flight system reliability analysis

Predictive maintenance has reduced unscheduled aircraft maintenance events by approximately 30%.

Transportation and Railways

Rail operators use AI-based maintenance systems to monitor:

- Tracks
- Rolling stock
- Signaling infrastructure

Benefits include improved safety, reliability, and service continuity.

5.5 Sustainability Implications

Maintenance optimization directly contributes to sustainability objectives.

The literature indicates that AI-driven maintenance systems support:

Energy Efficiency

Well-maintained equipment consumes less energy.

Studies report energy savings between 10% and 15%.

Resource Conservation

Predictive maintenance reduces unnecessary component replacements.

Organizations have reported material savings of 15–20%.

Carbon Emission Reduction

Reduced equipment failures and optimized operations lower greenhouse gas emissions.

Several industrial case studies indicate emission reductions of approximately 8–12%.

Extended Asset Lifecycles

AI-enabled maintenance extends equipment life by up to 40%, reducing the environmental burden associated with manufacturing replacement assets.

Table 1. Summary of Previous Studies

Author(s)	Year	Technology	Application Area	Key Findings
Jardine et al.	2006	Predictive Maintenance	Manufacturing	Established CBM framework
Widodo & Yang	2007	SVM	Fault Diagnosis	High diagnostic accuracy
Tao et al.	2019	Digital Twin	Smart Manufacturing	Improved maintenance planning

Zhao et al.	2019	CNN	Fault Detection	Accuracy >95%
Lei et al.	2020	Sensor Fusion	Condition Monitoring	Improved diagnostics
Liu et al.	2020	Dynamic Bayesian Network	Risk Assessment	Enhanced risk prediction
Peng et al.	2020	Multi-modal Monitoring	Machinery Health	Accuracy improvement of 18%
Wang et al.	2021	Hybrid AI Models	Predictive Maintenance	Better prediction performance
Zhang et al.	2023	Explainable AI	Maintenance Analytics	Increased trust and transparency
Li et al.	2024	Reinforcement Learning	Maintenance Scheduling	Reduced maintenance costs
Various Studies	2025–2026	AI + Digital Twin	Industry 5.0 Systems	Autonomous maintenance support

Table 2. Comparison of Existing Approaches

Approach	Real-Time Capability	Risk Adaptation	Explainability	Autonomous Decision Support
Corrective Maintenance	No	No	High	No
Preventive Maintenance	Limited	No	High	No
Condition-Based Maintenance	Moderate	Limited	Moderate	No
Predictive Maintenance	High	Limited	Moderate	Partial
Digital Twin Maintenance	High	Moderate	Moderate	Partial
AI-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling	Very High	Very High	High (with XAI)	High

Table 3. Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges	Opportunities
Data quality issues	Advanced sensor technologies
Cybersecurity risks	Secure AI architectures

Model interpretability	Explainable AI development
Integration complexity	Standardized platforms
Computational requirements	Edge and cloud computing
Lack of skilled workforce	AI-enabled training systems
Data privacy concerns	Federated learning
Scalability limitations	Industry-wide deployment frameworks

Table 4. AI-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling Framework for Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Stage	Framework Component	Key Functions	Expected Outcomes
1	Multi-Modal Data Sources	Collect data from vibration sensors, acoustic sensors, thermal imaging, IoT devices, maintenance logs, and operational databases	Comprehensive real-time asset condition monitoring
2	AI Analytics Engine	Apply machine learning, deep learning, anomaly detection, and Remaining Useful Life (RUL) prediction models	Equipment health assessment and failure prediction
3	Dynamic Risk Modeling	Continuously evaluate failure probability and consequences using Bayesian Networks, Fuzzy Logic, and probabilistic models	Real-time risk assessment and adaptive maintenance prioritization
4	Digital Twin Integration	Synchronize physical assets with virtual replicas for simulation, monitoring, and predictive analysis	Enhanced forecasting and maintenance planning
5	Autonomous Decision Support	Utilize reinforcement learning, optimization algorithms, and explainable AI for maintenance recommendations	Intelligent and autonomous maintenance decision-making
6	Reliability-Centered Maintenance Optimization	Integrate risk insights and maintenance decisions into RCM strategies	Improved reliability, reduced downtime, lower maintenance costs, and increased asset availability

Table 5. Conceptual Model for AI-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling in Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Stage	Component	Description	Expected Outcome
1	Industrial Assets	Physical equipment and infrastructure such as machinery, turbines, manufacturing systems, transportation assets, and energy systems that require continuous monitoring and maintenance.	Operational asset base for maintenance management
2	Sensor Networks + IIoT	Integration of sensors, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) devices, and communication networks to capture real-time operational and condition-monitoring data.	Continuous data acquisition and connectivity
3	Data Collection Layer	Aggregation, storage, preprocessing, and integration of data from multiple sources, including sensor streams, maintenance logs, SCADA systems, and enterprise databases.	Unified and structured maintenance dataset
4	Machine Learning & Deep Learning	Application of AI algorithms for fault detection, anomaly identification, predictive maintenance, and Remaining Useful Life (RUL) estimation.	Intelligent equipment health assessment and failure prediction
5	Dynamic Risk Assessment	Continuous evaluation of equipment risk levels using probabilistic models, Bayesian Networks, and real-time operational data.	Adaptive and real-time risk profiling
6	Digital Twin Simulation	Creation of a virtual representation of physical assets for monitoring, simulation, performance analysis, and predictive scenario evaluation.	Enhanced forecasting and maintenance planning
7	Explainable AI Layer	Integration of explainable AI techniques to provide transparency, interpretability, and justification for AI-generated predictions and recommendations.	Increased trust and confidence in AI decisions
8	Autonomous Maintenance Decisions	Use of reinforcement learning, optimization algorithms, and intelligent decision-support systems to recommend or automate maintenance actions.	Optimized maintenance scheduling and resource allocation
9	Improved Reliability & Sustainability	Achievement of higher equipment reliability, reduced downtime, lower maintenance costs, enhanced asset lifespan, and improved environmental sustainability.	Long-term operational excellence and sustainable asset management

Table 6. Future Research Roadmap for AI-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling in Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Time Period	Research Focus	Key Developments	Expected Impact
2026–2028	**AI + Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) Integration**	Integration of machine learning, deep learning, predictive analytics, and dynamic risk modeling into traditional RCM frameworks. Development of intelligent maintenance decision-support systems.	Improved maintenance planning, enhanced reliability prediction, and increased adoption of AI-driven maintenance practices.
2028–2030	**Digital Twin Expansion**	Wider deployment of digital twins across industrial assets, production systems, and supply chains. Enhanced real-time monitoring, simulation, and predictive maintenance capabilities.	Greater operational visibility, optimized maintenance scheduling, and reduced equipment downtime.
2030–2032	**Explainable AI (XAI) Adoption**	Increased implementation of explainable AI techniques to improve transparency, interpretability, and trust in AI-generated maintenance recommendations and risk assessments.	Higher user confidence, improved regulatory compliance, and broader industrial acceptance of AI systems.
2032–2035	**Autonomous Maintenance Systems**	Adoption of reinforcement learning, autonomous decision-making, and self-optimizing maintenance strategies capable of recommending and executing maintenance actions with minimal human intervention.	Significant reductions in maintenance costs, improved asset availability, and highly adaptive maintenance operations.
Beyond 2035	**Self-Healing Industrial Ecosystems**	Development of fully intelligent industrial systems capable of self-diagnosis, self-prediction, self-optimization, and self-repair through the integration of AI, digital twins, robotics, and cyber-physical systems.	Near-zero unplanned downtime, maximum operational resilience, sustainable asset management, and autonomous industrial ecosystems.

5.6 Critical Discussion

Although AI-driven maintenance systems demonstrate considerable promise, industrial adoption remains uneven. Many organizations struggle with fragmented data infrastructures, legacy systems, and insufficient digital maturity.

A recurring issue in the literature is the trade-off between predictive performance and interpretability. Deep learning models frequently achieve superior accuracy but often lack transparency. Consequently, future research must balance model complexity with explainability.

Another challenge concerns autonomous decision-making. While reinforcement learning and optimization algorithms show substantial potential, safety-critical industries require rigorous validation before fully autonomous maintenance actions can be trusted.

Cybersecurity represents another emerging concern. As maintenance systems become increasingly interconnected through Industrial IoT platforms, vulnerabilities associated with data breaches, adversarial attacks, and unauthorized access become more significant.

The review further suggests that future maintenance systems will increasingly combine digital twins, federated learning, explainable AI, and autonomous decision support to create intelligent, adaptive, and resilient maintenance ecosystems.

The proposed AI-DDRM framework provides a foundation for achieving this vision by integrating advanced analytics, dynamic risk assessment, and autonomous decision-making capabilities within a unified Reliability-Centered Maintenance architecture.

6. Future Research Directions

The findings of this review indicate that Artificial Intelligence-driven Dynamic Risk Modeling (AI-DDRM) has significant potential to transform Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) in the coming decade. However, several research opportunities remain unexplored and warrant further investigation.

6.1 Explainable and Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence

One of the most critical challenges identified in the literature is the lack of transparency associated with advanced AI models. Deep learning algorithms often achieve superior predictive performance but provide limited insight into the reasoning behind maintenance recommendations.

Future research should focus on integrating Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) techniques into predictive maintenance and dynamic risk assessment systems. Approaches such as SHAP, LIME, attention visualization, and causal AI can enhance transparency and trustworthiness. Research should also investigate methods for quantifying the explainability-performance trade-off in industrial environments.

As industrial systems become increasingly autonomous, explainability will be essential for regulatory compliance, operator acceptance, and risk management.

6.2 Federated Learning for Distributed Maintenance Intelligence

Industrial organizations are often reluctant to share operational data due to privacy, security, and competitive concerns. Federated Learning (FL) offers a promising solution by enabling collaborative model training without exchanging raw data.

Future studies should explore:

- Federated predictive maintenance frameworks.
- Cross-industry learning architectures.
- Privacy-preserving risk assessment models.
- Distributed AI maintenance ecosystems.

Federated learning may enable organizations to leverage collective intelligence while maintaining data confidentiality.

6.3 Integration of Generative AI and Large Language Models

Recent advancements in Generative Artificial Intelligence and Large Language Models (LLMs) have introduced new possibilities for maintenance engineering.

Future applications may include:

- Automated maintenance report generation.
- Intelligent troubleshooting assistants.
- Knowledge extraction from maintenance manuals.
- Conversational maintenance decision support.
- Automated root-cause analysis.

Research is needed to evaluate the reliability, accuracy, and industrial applicability of LLM-driven maintenance systems.

6.4 Advanced Digital Twin Ecosystems

Current digital twin implementations primarily focus on individual assets. Future research should investigate interconnected digital twin ecosystems capable of representing entire production facilities, supply chains, and industrial networks.

Emerging research areas include:

- Cognitive digital twins.
- Self-learning digital twins.
- Federated digital twins.
- Multi-agent digital twin systems.

Such developments may enable holistic maintenance optimization across enterprise-wide infrastructures.

6.5 Autonomous Maintenance Systems

The evolution from predictive maintenance to autonomous maintenance represents a major research frontier.

Future systems are expected to:

- Continuously monitor equipment health.
- Predict failures.
- Assess risks dynamically.
- Generate maintenance plans.
- Execute maintenance actions automatically.

Research should focus on safe reinforcement learning, autonomous planning algorithms, and human-AI collaboration frameworks.

6.6 Human-Centered Industry 5.0 Maintenance

Industry 5.0 emphasizes collaboration between humans and intelligent systems rather than complete automation.

Future investigations should address:

- Human-AI decision-making models.
- Trust calibration mechanisms.
- Workforce adaptation strategies.
- Cognitive support systems.
- Human-centered maintenance interfaces.

The objective is to create maintenance systems that augment human expertise rather than replace it.

6.7 Sustainability-Oriented Maintenance Intelligence

Sustainability considerations will become increasingly important in future maintenance systems.

Researchers should explore:

- Carbon-aware maintenance scheduling.
- Circular economy integration.
- Energy-optimized maintenance strategies.
- Sustainable asset lifecycle management.
- Environmental risk modeling.

The convergence of AI, sustainability, and maintenance engineering represents a promising area for future interdisciplinary research.

7. Practical Implications

The proposed AI-DDRM framework has significant implications for industrial organizations, policymakers, maintenance professionals, and technology providers.

7.1 Implications for Industrial Organizations

Organizations can leverage AI-driven maintenance systems to improve operational performance through:

- Reduced equipment downtime.
- Lower maintenance costs.
- Increased asset availability.
- Enhanced operational safety.
- Improved resource utilization.

Research indicates that predictive maintenance initiatives can reduce maintenance costs by 15–40%, decrease downtime by 20–50%, and extend equipment life by up to 40%.

The proposed framework provides a roadmap for organizations seeking to transition from reactive maintenance toward intelligent and autonomous maintenance ecosystems.

7.2 Implications for Maintenance Engineers

Maintenance professionals will increasingly operate as decision supervisors rather than manual inspectors.

AI-driven systems can support engineers by:

- Prioritizing maintenance activities.
- Identifying root causes.
- Estimating remaining useful life.
- Recommending optimal interventions.

However, workforce reskilling will be essential to ensure effective collaboration between humans and intelligent systems.

7.3 Implications for Technology Providers

Technology vendors have opportunities to develop integrated maintenance platforms that combine:

- Industrial IoT.
- AI analytics.
- Digital twins.
- Dynamic risk modeling.
- Explainable AI.

Future competitive advantage may depend on providing scalable, interoperable, and trustworthy maintenance solutions.

7.4 Implications for Policymakers

Governments and regulatory agencies should establish standards governing:

- AI transparency.
- Industrial data sharing.
- Cybersecurity.
- Autonomous decision-making.
- Digital twin governance.

Such frameworks can facilitate safe and responsible adoption of intelligent maintenance technologies.

8. Research Contributions

8.1 Theoretical Contribution

This study contributes to maintenance engineering literature by integrating multiple research domains, including Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Artificial Intelligence, Dynamic Risk Modeling, Digital Twins, Explainable AI, and Autonomous Decision Support.

The proposed AI-DDRM framework advances theoretical understanding by demonstrating how these technologies can be combined into a unified maintenance ecosystem.

The framework also extends traditional RCM concepts by incorporating dynamic and adaptive risk assessment capabilities.

8.2 Managerial Contribution

The study provides maintenance managers with a structured roadmap for implementing intelligent maintenance systems.

Managers can utilize the framework to:

- Prioritize digital transformation initiatives.
- Improve maintenance planning.
- Optimize resource allocation.
- Support strategic asset management.

The findings also assist organizations in evaluating technological investments and implementation priorities.

8.3 Technological Contribution

From a technological perspective, the study proposes an integrated architecture that combines:

- Multi-modal condition monitoring.
- AI analytics.
- Dynamic risk assessment.
- Digital twin technologies.
- Autonomous decision support.

The framework provides guidance for designing next-generation maintenance platforms aligned with Industry 5.0 objectives.

8.4 Sustainability Contribution

The proposed framework supports sustainable industrial development through:

- Reduced resource consumption.
- Extended asset lifecycles.
- Lower energy usage.
- Reduced carbon emissions.
- Enhanced operational resilience.

Consequently, the study contributes to broader sustainability and circular economy goals.

9. Conclusion

The increasing complexity of industrial systems, coupled with the proliferation of Industrial Internet of Things technologies, has created a pressing need for more intelligent and adaptive maintenance strategies. Traditional Reliability-Centered Maintenance frameworks, while valuable, are constrained by their dependence on historical data, expert judgment, and static risk assessments.

This study reviewed recent developments in Artificial Intelligence-driven maintenance technologies and proposed a comprehensive Artificial Intelligence-Driven Dynamic Risk Modeling (AI-DDRM) framework for Reliability-Centered Maintenance. Through a systematic analysis of contemporary literature, the study identified key technological trends including machine learning, deep learning, digital twins, dynamic risk assessment, explainable AI, reinforcement learning, and autonomous decision support.

The findings suggest that AI-enabled maintenance systems can substantially improve operational performance by reducing downtime, lowering maintenance costs, increasing equipment reliability, and enhancing sustainability outcomes. Multi-modal condition monitoring, dynamic risk adaptation, and digital twin integration emerged as critical enablers of next-generation maintenance systems.

Despite significant progress, challenges related to data quality, interoperability, cybersecurity, explainability, and autonomous decision reliability continue to hinder large-scale deployment. Addressing these challenges will require interdisciplinary collaboration among researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and technology providers.

The proposed AI-DDRM framework offers a conceptual foundation for future intelligent maintenance ecosystems capable of continuously monitoring equipment health, dynamically assessing risks, and autonomously supporting maintenance decisions. The framework aligns closely with Industry 5.0 objectives by promoting resilience, sustainability, and human-AI collaboration.

Looking forward, advancements in explainable AI, federated learning, generative AI, digital twins, and autonomous systems are expected to further transform maintenance engineering. Ultimately, the convergence of these technologies may lead to self-healing industrial ecosystems capable of achieving unprecedented levels of reliability, efficiency, and sustainability.

References

1. Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence. *IEEE Access, 6*, 52138–52160.
2. Ahmad, R., & Kamaruddin, S. (2012). An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial applications. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 63*(1), 135–149.
3. Bousdekis, A., Magoutas, B., Apostolou, D., & Mentzas, G. (2020). Review, analysis and synthesis of prognostic-based decision support methods for condition-based maintenance. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 31*(4), 867–888.
4. Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A. A. M. N., Vita, R., Francisco, R. D. P., Basto, J. P., & Alcalá, S. G. S. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137*, 106024.
5. Chen, X., Deng, Y., Zhang, X., & Mahadevan, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance: Recent advances and future trends. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 232*, 109018.
6. Fang, Y., Wang, H., & Li, X. (2024). Explainable deep learning for industrial fault diagnosis. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 127*, 107342.
7. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep learning*. MIT Press.
8. ISO 55000. (2014). *Asset management—Overview, principles and terminology*. International Organization for Standardization.
9. Jardine, A. K. S., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2006). A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20*(7), 1483–1510.
10. Khakzad, N., Khan, F., & Amyotte, P. (2018). Dynamic risk analysis using Bayesian networks. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 115*, 181–190.
11. Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Jin, C. (2016). Introduction to cyber manufacturing. *Manufacturing Letters, 8*, 11–15.
12. Lee, J., Davari, H., Singh, J., & Pandhare, V. (2018). Industrial AI and predictive analytics for smart manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing Letters, 18*, 20–23.
13. [1] S. M. Harle, "Durability and long-term performance of fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) composites: A review," in *Structures*, Elsevier, 2024, p. 105881. Accessed: May 26, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235201242400033X
14. [2] S. M. Harle, "Advancements and challenges in the application of artificial intelligence in civil engineering: a comprehensive review," *Asian J. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1061–1078, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s42107-023-00760-9.
15. [3] S. Joshi and S. M. Harle, "Linear variable differential transducer (LVDT) & its applications in civil engineering," *Int J Transp Eng Technol*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 62–66, 2017.

16. [4] R. S. Ingalkar and S. M. Harle, "Replacement of natural sand by crushed sand in the concrete," *Landsc. Archit. Reg. Plan.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 13–22, 2017.
17. [5] S. M. Harle, "Review on the performance of glass fiber reinforced concrete," *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 281–284, 2014.
18. [6] S. M. Harle, "The performance of natural fiber reinforced polymer composites," *Int J Civ Eng Res*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 285–288, 2014.
19. [7] S. M. Harle and R. L. Wankhade, "Machine learning techniques for predictive modelling in geotechnical engineering: a succinct review," *Discov. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 86, May 2025, doi: 10.1007/s44290-025-00224-w.
20. [8] S. M. Harle, S. Sagane, N. Zanjad, P. K. S. Bhadauria, and H. P. Nistane, "Advancing seismic resilience: Focus on building design techniques," in *Structures*, Elsevier, 2024, p. 106432. Accessed: Jun. 21, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352012424005848
21. [9] S. Harle and R. Meghe, "Glass fiber reinforced concrete & its properties," *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Res. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 118–120, 2014.
22. [10] S. M. Harle, "Analysis by STAAD-PRO and design of structural elements by MATLAB," *J. Asian Sci. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 5, p. 145, 2017.
23. [11] S. M. Harle, A. Bhagat, R. Ingole, and N. Zanjad, "Artificial Intelligence and Data Analytics for Structural Health Monitoring: A Review of Recent Developments," *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 4475–4490, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11831-025-10276-x.
24. [12] S. Harle, A. Bhagat, and A. K. Dash, "Remote Sensing Revolution: Mapping Land Productivity and VegetationTrends with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)," *Curr. Appl. Mater.*, vol. 03, p. e070224226752, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.2174/0126667312288014240129080801.
25. [13] S. M. Harle, "Exploring the dynamics of vibration and impact loads: a comprehensive review," *Int. J. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2024, doi: 10.1504/IJSTRUCTE.2024.136893.
26. [14] S. M. Harle, "Machine learning algorithms on self-healing concrete," *Asian J. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1381–1394, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s42107-025-01272-4.
27. [15] S. Harle, "Partial replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell in the concrete," *J. Res. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, 2017, Accessed: Jun. 21, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/download/80252080/5-Volume_202_20Issue_201_20_20January_202017.pdf
28. [16] A. Gulhane and A. Guhane, "Battery sizing for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles—formula hybrid," in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI)*, IEEE, 2017, pp. 368–372. Accessed: Jun. 21, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8392317/
29. [17] A. Gulhane, S. Malge, E. Rajgure, and P. Deshpande, "Weaving Resilience Navigating Internal and External Complexities in Modern Supply Chains".
30. [18] A. Gulhane, A. Karale, and C. Gavali, "Power line carrier communication based anti-theft system," *Int. J. Res. IT Manag.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1–11, 2014.
31. [19] A. Gulhane, A. Karale, and S. Desai, "Swipe Controller," *Int. J. Res. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1–7, 2014.